

A REMARK ON BOUNDED SETS IN THE PRODUCT OF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

OMID ZABETI

ABSTRACT. In this short note, we characterize bounded sets in the arbitrary product of topological groups with respect to the both product and box topologies.

Let us start with some remarks on boundedness which clarify the context. Suppose X is a topological vector space. When one wants to define a bounded set in X , there are two absolutely fruitful tools; scalar multiplication and absorbing neighborhoods at zero. These objects help us to match our intrinsic of boundedness in topological vector spaces; namely, a subset is bounded if it lies in a big enough ball. Now, consider the case when G is a topological group. These two handy material are not available. Of course, it is possible to define bounded sets in a topological group by replacing scalar multiplication with group multiplication in the definition of a bounded set in a topological vector space but this does not meet our intuition of a bounded set since for example the multiplicative group S^1 is not bounded in this manner. In addition, it is also possible to consider boundedness in a topological group like totally boundedness in a topological vector space but this one also does not match our intrinsic since it is similar to compactness in the additive group $\mathbb{R}$. Following [LjOm], a subset B in an abelian topological group G is called bounded if for each neighborhood U of the identity, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B \subseteq nU$. Let $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of abelian topological groups and $G = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$. It is an easy job to see that G is again an abelian topological group with respect to the both product and box topologies. In this short note, we characterise bounded sets of G in terms of bounded sets of (G_α) 's. All topological groups in this note are assumed to be abelian and Hausdorff.

First, we improve [LjOm, Theorem 1].

Theorem 1. *Let $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of abelian topological groups and $G = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ with the product topology. Then $B \subseteq G$ is bounded if and only if there exists a family of subsets $(B_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ such that each $B_\alpha \subseteq G_\alpha$ is bounded and $B \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} B_\alpha$.*

Proof. Suppose $B \subseteq G$ is bounded. Put

$$B_\alpha = \{x \in G_\alpha : \exists y = (y_\beta) \in B \text{ and } x \text{ is } \alpha\text{-th coordinate of } y\}.$$

Each B_α is bounded. For, if U_α is a neighborhood of identity in G_α , put

$$U = U_\alpha \times \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} G_\beta.$$

Date: November 8, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 22A05, 54H11.

Key words and phrases. Topological group, bounded set, product topology, box topology.

Indeed, U is a neighborhood of identity in G . Therefore there is a positive integer n with $B \subseteq nU$ so that $B_\alpha \subseteq nU_\alpha$. Now, it is not difficult to see that $B \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} B_\alpha$. The converse is a consequence of [LjOm, Theorem 1]. $\square$

Note that in a general abelian topological group, every singleton is not necessarily bounded; in other words, not every neighborhood at identity is absorbing. For example, let G be an abelian topological group. Put $H = G \times Z_2$ with the product topology. Then $G \times \{0\}$ is a zero neighborhood which is not absorbing. On the other hand, when G is a connected abelian group, by [Taq, Chapter III, Theorem 6], G is absorbed by positive powers of any neighborhood at identity so that singletons will be bounded. Nevertheless, connectedness is a sufficient condition; consider the additive group $\mathbb{Q}$. In the case when in a topological group G , singletons are bounded, compact sets are bounded and therefore we can consider the notion "Heine-Borel" property. Recall that G has the Heine-Borel property if every closed bounded subset of G is compact. Now, we have the following result.

Corollary 2. *Suppose $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ are a family of topological groups in which singletons are bounded and $G = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ with the product topology. Then singletons are also bounded in G .*

Corollary 3. *Let $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of topological groups in which singletons are bounded and $G = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ with the product topology. Then G has the Heine-Borel property if and only if each G_α has.*

Theorem 4. *Let $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of abelian topological groups and $G = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ with the box topology. Then $B \subseteq G$ is bounded if and only if there exists a finite set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ of indices such that $B \subseteq B_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times B_{\alpha_k} \times \prod_{\beta \in \Lambda - \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}} \{e_\beta\}$, where e_β denotes the identity element of G_β .*

Proof. Suppose $B \subseteq G$ is bounded and there is a net (c_α) of non-identity elements of (G_α) such that each c_α belongs to a component of B . There is a neighborhood U_α at identity element e_α in G_α such that $c_\alpha \notin U_\alpha$. Partition the index set to a countable collection (A_n) . For each $\alpha \in A_n$, take a neighborhood V_α at identity with $nV_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$. Put

$$B_1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \{c_\alpha\}.$$

Obviously, B_1 should be bounded in G . Now, suppose V is a neighborhood at identity of the form

$$V = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha.$$

It is not a difficult job to see that there is no $M > 0$ with $B_1 \subseteq MV$; for, in this case, $c_\alpha \in MV_\alpha \subseteq nV_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$, a contradiction. Therefore, for all but finitely many components, B should have identity elements. Also, by a similar argument that we had in the first direction of the proof of Theorem 1, we conclude that for some $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$, $B \subseteq B_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times B_{\alpha_k} \times \prod_{\beta \in \Lambda - \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}} \{e_\beta\}$, in which, each B_{α_i} is bounded in G_{α_i} . The other direction is trivial. $\square$

Remark 5. Considering the proof of Theorem 4, we conclude that in the box topology, singletons are never bounded so that in such spaces compact sets are not bounded in general.

Corollary 6. *Let (G_α) be a family of abelian topological groups and $G = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ with the box topology. Then singletons are not bounded, in general. In particular, G is never connected by [Taq, Chapter III, Theorem 6] even when all of G'_α s are connected. Nevertheless, consider this point that by [Taq, Chapter III, Exercise 8], product topology preserves connectedness.*

REFERENCES

- [Taq] T. Husain, Introduction to topological groups, W. B. Saunders Company, 1966.
- [LjOm] Lj D.R. Koćinac and O. Zabeti *Topological groups of bounded homomorphisms on a topological group*, Filomat, **30**(3)(2016), pp 541-546.

OMID ZABETI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SISTAN AND BALUCHESTAN, P.O.BOX 98135-674, ZAHEDAN, IRAN.
E-mail address: o.zabeti@gmail.com